Mixing Layers in Symmetric Crypto

Ko Stoffelen

iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Part I

Shorter Linear Straight-Line Programs for MDS Matrices

Part II

Column Parity Mixers

MDS Matrices in Symmetric Crypto

- Maximum Distance Separable

MDS Matrices in Symmetric Crypto

- Maximum Distance Separable
- Common linear layer with optimal *branch number*

MDS Matrices in Symmetric Crypto

- Maximum Distance Separable
- Common linear layer with optimal *branch number*
- A lot of effort on finding efficient MDS matrices over $\left(\mathbb{F}_2^k\right)^{n \times n}$

iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

MDS Matrices in Symmetric Crypto

- Maximum Distance Separable
- Common linear layer with optimal *branch number*
- A lot of effort on finding efficient MDS matrices over $\left(\mathbb{F}_2^k\right)^{n \times n}$
- Compared by 'XOR count': multiplication of single element

MDS Matrices in Symmetric Crypto

- Maximum Distance Separable
- Common linear layer with optimal *branch number*
- A lot of effort on finding efficient MDS matrices over $\left(\mathbb{F}_2^k\right)^{n \times n}$
- Compared by 'XOR count': multiplication of single element
- But when viewed as binary matrix:

MDS Matrices in Symmetric Crypto

- Maximum Distance Separable
- Common linear layer with optimal *branch number*
- A lot of effort on finding efficient MDS matrices over $\left(\mathbb{F}_2^k\right)^{n \times n}$
- Compared by 'XOR count': multiplication of single element
- But when viewed as binary matrix:
  - Problem becomes shortest-linear straight-line program

MDS Matrices in Symmetric Crypto

- Maximum Distance Separable
- Common linear layer with optimal *branch number*
- A lot of effort on finding efficient MDS matrices over $\left(\mathbb{F}_2^k\right)^{n \times n}$
- Compared by 'XOR count': multiplication of single element
- But when viewed as binary matrix:
  - Problem becomes shortest-linear straight-line program
  - Global optimization saves more XORs

MDS Matrices in Symmetric Crypto

- Maximum Distance Separable
- Common linear layer with optimal *branch number*
- A lot of effort on finding efficient MDS matrices over $\left(\mathbb{F}_2^k\right)^{n \times n}$
- Compared by 'XOR count': multiplication of single element
- But when viewed as binary matrix:
  - Problem becomes shortest-linear straight-line program
  - Global optimization saves more XORs
  - Old algorithms improve many results (e.g., AES MixColumns)

MDS Matrices in Symmetric Crypto

- Maximum Distance Separable
- Common linear layer with optimal *branch number*
- A lot of effort on finding efficient MDS matrices over $\left(\mathbb{F}_2^k\right)^{n \times n}$
- Compared by 'XOR count': multiplication of single element
- But when viewed as binary matrix:
  - Problem becomes shortest-linear straight-line program
  - Global optimization saves more XORs
  - Old algorithms improve many results (e.g., AES MixColumns)
  - We find new MDS matrices with lowest number of XORs

Column Parity Mixers

- Keccak-f has very strong bounds on differential trails due to θ



iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

- Keccak-f has very strong bounds on differential trails due to θ
- Properties of θ-like mixing layers not well understood



iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

- Keccak-f has very strong bounds on differential trails due to θ
- Properties of θ-like mixing layers not well understood
- CPM: generalization of θ



iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

- Keccak-f has very strong bounds on differential trails due to θ
- Properties of θ-like mixing layers not well understood
- CPM: generalization of θ
  - Interesting algebraic properties



iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

- Keccak-f has very strong bounds on differential trails due to θ
- Properties of θ-like mixing layers not well understood
- CPM: generalization of θ
  - Interesting algebraic properties
  - Good *diffusion* properties



iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

- Keccak-f has very strong bounds on differential trails due to θ
- Properties of θ-like mixing layers not well understood
- CPM: generalization of θ
  - Interesting algebraic properties
  - Good *diffusion* properties
  - Also suitable for strongly aligned ciphers



iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

- Keccak-f has very strong bounds on differential trails due to θ
- Properties of θ-like mixing layers not well understood
- CPM: generalization of θ
  - Interesting algebraic properties
  - Good *diffusion* properties
  - Also suitable for strongly aligned ciphers
  - Competitive with MDS matrices



iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

For an $m \times n$ matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_2^k$:

$$\theta(A) = A + \quad f(A)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} & a_{0,3} \\ a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} \\ a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} \end{pmatrix}$$

iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

For an $m \times n$ matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_2^k$:

$$\theta(A) = A + \quad \mathbf{1}_m^{\mathsf{T}} A$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} & a_{0,3} \\ a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} \\ a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} \end{pmatrix}}_{1 \times n \text{ column parity}}$$

Column Parity Mixers

For an $m \times n$ matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_2^k$:

$$\theta(A) = A + \quad \mathbf{1}_m^{\mathsf{T}} A Z$$

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} & a_{0,3} \\ a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} \\ a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} \end{pmatrix}}_{1 \times n \text{ column parity}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} z_{0,0} & z_{0,1} & z_{0,2} & z_{0,3} \\ z_{1,0} & z_{1,1} & z_{1,2} & z_{1,3} \\ z_{2,0} & z_{2,1} & z_{2,2} & z_{2,3} \\ z_{3,0} & z_{3,1} & z_{3,2} & z_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}}_{n \times n \text{ parity-folding matrix}}}_{1 \times n \ \theta\text{-effect}}$$

iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

For an $m \times n$ matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_2^k$:

$$\theta(A) = A + \mathbf{1}_m \mathbf{1}_m^{\mathsf{T}} A Z$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} & a_{0,3} \\ a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} \\ a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} \end{pmatrix}}_{1 \times n \text{ column parity}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} z_{0,0} & z_{0,1} & z_{0,2} & z_{0,3} \\ z_{1,0} & z_{1,1} & z_{1,2} & z_{1,3} \\ z_{2,0} & z_{2,1} & z_{2,2} & z_{2,3} \\ z_{3,0} & z_{3,1} & z_{3,2} & z_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}}_{n \times n \text{ parity-folding matrix}}}_{1 \times n \ \theta\text{-effect}}$$

$$\underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{m \times n \text{ expanded } \theta\text{-effect}}$$

iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

For an $m \times n$ matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_2^k$:

$$\theta(A) = A + \quad \mathbf{1}_m^m A Z$$

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\1\end{pmatrix}\ \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} & a_{0,3}\\ a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3}\\ a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3}\end{pmatrix}}_{1\times n \text{ column parity}}\ \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}z_{0,0} & z_{0,1} & z_{0,2} & z_{0,3}\\ z_{1,0} & z_{1,1} & z_{1,2} & z_{1,3}\\ z_{2,0} & z_{2,1} & z_{2,2} & z_{2,3}\\ z_{3,0} & z_{3,1} & z_{3,2} & z_{3,3}\end{pmatrix}}_{n\times n \text{ parity-folding matrix}}}_{1\times n\ \theta\text{-effect}}}_{m\times n \text{ expanded } \theta\text{-effect}}$$

iCIS | Digital Security
Radboud University

Column Parity Mixers

For an $m \times n$ matrix A over $\mathbb{F}_2^k$:

$$\theta(A) = A + \quad \mathbf{1}_m^m A Z$$

$$\underbrace{\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\1\end{pmatrix}\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & a_{0,2} & a_{0,3}\\ a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3}\\ a_{2,0} & a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3}\end{pmatrix}}_{1\times n \text{ column parity}}\begin{pmatrix}z_{0,0} & z_{0,1} & z_{0,2} & z_{0,3}\\ z_{1,0} & z_{1,1} & z_{1,2} & z_{1,3}\\ z_{2,0} & z_{2,1} & z_{2,2} & z_{2,3}\\ z_{3,0} & z_{3,1} & z_{3,2} & z_{3,3}\end{pmatrix}}_{n\times n \text{ parity-folding matrix}}}_{1\times n\ \theta\text{-effect}}}_{m\times n \text{ expanded } \theta\text{-effect}}$$

θ fully defined by m, n and Z